

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation of which we were warned.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, so I think would be obligated to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for their bottom line and less of what we need for our information in a democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more unbiased news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.